Tayson Ribeiro Teles organizador

LINGUA(GENS), LITERATURAS, Culturas, identidades e Direitos indígenas no brasil

ANÁLISES, REFLEXÕES E PERSPECTIVAS





Dados Internacionais de Catalogação na Publicação (CIP) Bibliotecária responsável: Aline Graziele Benitez CRB-1/3129

L727 1.ed.	Língua(gens), literaturas, culturas, identidades e direito no Brasil: análises, reflexões e Perspectivas [livro eletrô organização Tayson Ribeiro Teles. – 1.ed. – Curitiba-PR: Editora Bagai, 2021. E-book.	0
	Bibliografia. ISBN: 978-65-89499-57-2	
	1. Análises e reflexões. 2. Culturas – Identidades indíger 3. Direitos indígenas. 4. Linguagens e literatura indígen I. Teles, Tayson Ribeiro.	
04-2021/65		CDD 306.8

Índice para catálogo sistemático: 1. Cultura indígena 306.8

doi https://doi.org/10.37008/978-65-89499-57-2.23.04.21



Este livro foi composto pela Editora Bagai.



f /editorabagai

www.editorabagai.com.br

/editorabagai

/cuit

contato@editorabagai.com.br

Tayson Ribeiro Teles

organizador

LÍNGUA(GENS), LITERATURAS, CULTURAS, IDENTIDADES E DIREITOS INDÍGENAS NO BRASIL:

análises, reflexões e perspectivas



1.ª Edição - Copyright© 2021 dos autores Direitos de Edição Reservados à Editora Bagai.

O conteúdo de cada capítulo é de inteira e exclusiva responsabilidade do(s) seu(s) respectivo(s) autor(es). As normas ortográficas, questões gramaticais, sistema de citações e referencial bibliográfico são prerrogativas de cada autor(es).

Editor-Chefe	Cleber Bianchessi
Revisão	Os autores
Imagem da Capa	https://anamendina.blogspot.com/2014/08/amazonia-brasil.html?spref=pi
Projeto Gráfico	Alexandre Lemos
Projeto Grafico Conselho Editorial	Artexandre Lemos Dr. Adilson Tadeu Basquerote - UNIDAVI Dr. Ademir A Pinhelli Mendes - UNINTER Dr. Andresson Luiz Tedesco - UNOCHAPECÓ Dra. Andréa Cristina Marques de Araújo - CESUPA Dra. Andréa Cristina Marques de Araújo - CESUPA Dra. Andrésa Graziele Brandt - IFC - UFSC Dr. Antonio Xavier Tomo - UPM - MOÇAMBIQUE Dra. Camila Cunico - UFPB Dr. Carlos Luís Pereira - UFES Dr. Carlos Luís Pereira - UFES Dr. Cledione Jacinto de Freitas - UFMS Dra. Clélia Peretti - PUCPR Dra. Daniela Mendes V da Silva - SEEDUCRJ/UCB Dra. Denise Rocha - UFC Dra. Elnora Maria Gondim Machado Lima - UFPI Dra. Ellosángela Rosemeri Martins - UESC Dr. Errane Rosa Martins - IFG Dr. Everaldo dos Santos Mendes - PUC-Rio - ISTEIN - PUC Minas Dr. Humberto Costa - UFPR Dr. Humberto Costa - UFPR Dr. Juan Eligio López García - UCF-CUBA Dr. Juan Eligio López García - UCF-CUBA Dr. Juan Suranavin - UNINTER Dr. Luciano Luz Gonzaga - SEEDUCRJ Dr. Luciano Luz Gonzaga - SEEDUCRJ Dr. Marcio A da Silveira - UFPR Dr. Marcio A da Silveira - UFPR Dr. Marcie Caulia Bestard González - UCF-CUBA

SUMÁRIO

PRESENTAÇÃO7
O CURRÍCULO ESCOLAR DOS POVOS INDÍGENAS NO BRASIL COMO COLONIALIDADE DO PODER DA CULTURA EUROPEIA CRISTÃ
leuma Roberta de Souza Marinho José Adnilton Oliveira Ferreira
ENTRE SILVESTRES E EXÓTICOS: OS ANIMAIS DENTRO DA CULTURA CHIQUITANA
AITO E LITERATURA: UMA LEITURA DA NARRATIVA COM A NOITE VEIO O SONO (2011), DE LIA MINÁPOTY35 rancisco Bezerra dos Santos Karen Rafaela da Silva Cordeiro
POESIA INDÍGENA DE TIAGO HAKIY: UMA ECOLOGIA DE GABERES
A ORDEM DO DISCURSO BIOMÉDICO: SILENCIAMENTO, CRADUÇÃO E FALA EM LÍNGUA INDÍGENA
DIREITOS FUNDAMENTAIS INDIGENAS E A PROTEÇÃO CONSTITUCIONAL DAS TERRAS TRADICIONALMENTE OCUPADAS NO BRASIL
A COMISSÃO NACIONAL DE POLÍTICA INDIGENISTA CNPI): UM BREVE CAPÍTULO DA HISTÓRIA RECENTE DO NDIGENISMO BRASILEIRO
A COMPLEXIDADE DO RECONHECIMENTO JURÍDICO DE COMUNIDADES INDÍGENAS NÃO ALDEADAS E NÃO /IVENTES EM TERRAS INDÍGENAS OFICIALMENTE RECONHECIDAS PELO ESTADO

OS ÍNDIOS E O NOVO CONSTITUCIONALISMO LATINO- AMERICANO: PERSPECTIVAS PREVISTAS PELA CONSTITUIÇÃO BRASILEIRA DE 1988 NA ERA DA
TECNODIVERSIDADE
Eduarda Aparecida Santos Golart Ingra Etchepare Vieira Valéria Ribas do Nascimento
OS REFUGIADOS AMBIENTAIS DE BELO MONTE: A VIOLAÇÃO DOS DIREITOS À CIDADANIA INDÍGENA 120
Alex Gaspar de Oliveira Eliane Cristina Pinto Moreira
VISÃO SISTÊMICA: PERCEPÇÕES DA ECOLOGIA E CIDADES INTELIGENTES
"VAMOS BRINCAR DE ÍNDIO": BNCC E APROPRIAÇÃO CULTURAL INDÍGENA NOS ANOS INICIAIS DO ENSINO FUNDAMENTAL
Sadrack Oliveira Alves Márcio Evaristo Beltrão
O DESENVOLVIMENTO DE METODOLOGIAS ATIVAS NO
ENSINO DE ADMINISTRAÇÃO PARA OS INDÍGENAS DO
ESTADO DO ACRE
DO ACESSO À PERMANÊNCIA: REFLEXÕES SOBRE AS POLÍTICAS AFIRMATIVAS PARA INDÍGENAS ESTUDANTES
NO ENSINO SUPERIOR
Berenice Schelbauer Do Prado
INDIGENOUS RIGHTS, NECROPOLITICS AND THE "DAILY GENOCIDES" OF BRAZIL'S NATIVE AND TRADITIONAL PEOPLES
Erick da Luz Scherf Marcos Vinicius Viana da Silva José Everton da Silva
SOBRE O ORGANIZADOR181
ÍNDICE REMISSIVO

INDIGENOUS RIGHTS, NECROPOLITICS AND THE "DAILY GENOCIDES" OF BRAZIL'S NATIVE AND TRADITIONAL PEOPLES

Erick da Luz Scherf⁵⁵ Marcos Vinicius Viana da Silva⁵⁶ José Everton da Silva⁵⁷

"Para el derecho penal internacional genocidio es la acción tendente a hacer desaparecer en todo o en parte determinados grupos humanos entre los que los pueblos indígenas están hoy expresamente comprendidos. Una política de acoso incluso incruento a comunidades persiguiendo la neutralización y ninguneo del correspondiente pueblo, con esta determinada intención, puede constituir genocidio; si a esto se suma la violencia sanguinaria más o menos selectiva, sin necesidad de que sea masiva, tal delito es paladino."

Bartolomé Clavero (2011, p. 11)

INTRODUCTION

From the time of "discovery" (or invasion) in 1500 up to 1970, Brazilian Indigenous populations decreased considerably, and many Indigenous peoples were extinct (FUNAI, 2010). On the latest national survey, the Brazilian Census of 2010 revealed that the Indigenous population was of around 817 thousand people divided into more than 300 peoples, compared to almost 4 million before invasion by the Portuguese Crown. More recently, according to Damiani, Pereira, and Nocetti (2018), in the region of Latin America, Brazil has become the leader when it comes to the assassination of Indigenous persons⁵⁸.

On the verge of contemporary conservatism and social regression, violence against the Indigenous population has increased significantly since 2016, represented in many forms including (but not limited to): increased childhood mortality, violent murder, and negligence or slowness in regularizing Indige-

⁵⁵ MSc student at the European Master in Social Work with Families and Children (M-Family) (University of Stavanger in Norway). ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3712-5777

 ⁵⁶ Post-Doctorate Fellow (URI – Santo Ângelo). Juris Doctor (JD) in Law (University of Alicante
Spain). PhD, M.A, and B.A in Law (UNIVALI). CV: http://lattes.cnpq.br/0483045958159744

⁵⁷ Post Doctorate from the University (UPF). Professor of the Master's / Doctorate program in Legal Sciences (UNIVALI). CV: http://lattes.cnpq.br/2188129548654528

⁵⁸ This article considers the definition of indigenous or tribal peoples set by the International Labour Organization (ILO) on Convention No 169, which was also adopted by the Brazilian legislation on the matter (ILO, 1989).

nous lands (CONSELHO INDIGENISTA MISSIONÁRIO, 2016). Additionally, with the new coronavirus outbreak in early 2020 in Brazil, findings showed a high, but disproportionate burden of COVID-19 on the Indigenous population in the country (SANTOS *et al.*, 2020).

Equally or similarly, traditional peoples and communities (TPCs)⁵⁹ in Brazil - which are composed by Indigenous persons as well as by other culturally diverse people such as: *Quilombolas*, Artisanal fishermen, "Extractivists", Riverine people, Gypsies and those belonging to *Terreiro* communities (among others) – have also been threatened with violence, persecution, and rights violations. According to a recent report published by Human Rights Watch (HRW) in 2020, although violence against Brazilian TPCs has been a chronic problem for decades, the election of current president Jair Bolsonaro has led to an escalation on violence against Indigenous peoples and TPCs in the country, especially due to land-related conflicts and relaxation or dismantle of environmental protection laws (MINISTÉRIO PÚBLICO FEDERAL, 2020).

Thus, the main argument of this chapter is that the dangerous combination of neoconservatism (FORTES, 2016), neoliberal/necropolitical policies (DALL'ALBA *et al.*, 2021), and the strengthening of the agribusiness lobby (IORIS, 2016) is leading to rights violations and the "daily genocides" of Indigenous peoples and TPCs in Brazil, even though this sort of genocide may not be recognized by international law. To sustain our argument, we adopt Bartolomé Clavero's (2011) definition of *genocidios cotidianos* ("*daily genocides*") and apply it to the experience of the subjects under analysis. We also argue that the concept of "necropolitics", coined by Achille Mbembe, is somewhat essential to understanding this type of violence.

INDIGENOUS RIGHTS, HUMAN RIGHTS AND DEMOCRATIC DECAY IN BRAZIL

Like everyone else, Indigenous people (as well as members of other TPCs) enjoy, or should enjoy, all the human rights norms and principles enshrined in international treaties, customary international law, constitutions, national laws, and moral systems across the globe. However, Indigenous persons need to have specific rights because of their unique position as first peoples of their nations and their increased vulnerability in some parts of the world:

⁵⁹ This definition was adopted based on the 2017 National Policy for Sustainable Development of the Traditional Peoples and Communities issued by the former Brazilian Ministry of Social Development, which considers TPCs to be: culturally differentiated groups that recognize themselves as such, that have their own forms of social organization, that occupy and use territories and natural resources as a condition for their cultural, social, religious, ancestral and economic reproduction, using knowledge, innovations and practices generated and transmitted by tradition (SECRETARIA ESPECIAL DO DESENVOLVIMENTO SOCIAL, 2021).

Often due to the lingering effects of colonization and oppression, Indigenous people are vulnerable to discrimination and mistreatment and excluded from effectively participating in processes that affect their rights. This means that today they are more likely to experience poverty, imprisonment, poor health and restrictions on self-determination (AMNESTY INTER-NATIONAL AUSTRALIA, 2021, online).

The UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples (UNDRIP) of 2007 is the most comprehensive international instrument on the matter. According to the Australian Human Rights Commission (2021, online): "it establishes a universal framework of minimum standards for the survival, dignity and well-being of the Indigenous peoples of the world and it elaborates on existing human rights standards and fundamental freedoms as they apply to Indigenous peoples". In the context of the UNDRIP, issues that are essential to Indigenous peoples include (but are not limited to) the rights to non-discrimination, self-identification, land rights and development.

However, even though some argue that the Declaration carries "significant legal weight" in the context of international human rights law (BARNABAS, 2017), others question its limitations in terms of the recognition of collective rights (ENGLE, 2011) and regarding its relevance to Indigenous peoples in their struggle for survival (HENDERSON, 2014).

In Brazil, the contemporary situation of the demarcation and land title regularization processes (or lack thereof) of Indigenous lands may be one the most pressing issues related to the rights of Indigenous peoples and TPCs, amongst others. According to Silva (2018), the protection and demarcation of Indigenous lands is very important, as it makes it possible for these peoples to secure a space that provide them with a means of economic subsistence and development, continuance of cultural practices, and overall survival. Nonetheless, since 2016 especially, the situation of Indigenous peoples and traditional peoples and communities, especially in relation to processes of self-determination and access to territories, has significantly worsened with the emergence of the "new right" and increasing democratic decay:

This has been especially pronounced under the last two governments of Michel Temer and Jair Bolsonaro, including the revocation of legal frameworks, closure of social oversight forums, dismantling of State apparatuses, suppression of social programs, and budget cuts. Some specific threats are worth highlighting: the Direct Action on Unconstitutionality put into effect by the Democratas Party in relation to Decree 4887/2003; PEC 215, which transfers to Congress decisions concerning the demarcation and regularization of indigenous lands and the territories of quilombola communities and traditional peoples and communities; the CPI introduced in the Chamber of Deputies against the work of FUNAI, INCRA and anthropologists in land regularization processes, which has been shelved but may return; among other risks (COSTA-FILHO, 2020, p. 14).

Thus, even though Brazil does have a quite extensive legal apparatus – found in international instruments as well as in the Federal Constitution and all the infra-constitutional legislation and regulation at federal, state and municipal levels - designed to protect both Indigenous persons and members of TPCs, it has not been enough to stop contemporary undemocratic movements fueled with social regression and right-wing extremism from violating and dismantling the rights of these historically excluded groups.

ENVIRONMENTAL DAMAGE, NECROPOLITICS AND THE "DAILY GENOCIDE" OF BRAZILIAN INDIGENOUS AND TRADITIONAL PEOPLES

Even though Brazil has dealt with environmental issues for decades, since 2016 and especially after Brazilian President Jair Bolsonaro has stepped into office in 2018, the country has witnessed a decay in environmental law enforcement and a considerable rise in deforestation, especially in the Brazilian Amazon (ESCOBAR, 2020). Taking into account that Indigenous peoples (and TPCs at some level) are key to forest conservation efforts and the protection of global biodiversity (RAYGORODETSKY, 2018; COLCHESTER, 2004), they are viewed as a threat to Bolsonaro's Administration and its anti-environment agenda.

The election of Bolsonaro represented, among many other things, the victory of neoliberal and agribusiness interests over environmental protection causes, a fact that became clearer as the Government went on to destroy major environmental protection policies, despite the large environmental protection legislation Brazil possesses (SILVA; SCHERF, 2020; CAPELARI *et al.*, 2020). The Brazilian example showcases how, independently from constitutional and international legal provisions, the assault on the environment can be explicitly engineered to meet private interests, such as those held by the agribusiness lobby (PEREIRA *et al.*, 2019).

This dismantling of environmental policies has also been accompanied by a public discourse based on the argument that non-interference in Indigenous lands and environmental protection shuts off the country's progress, which resulted in: a) slowness or a complete stop in demarcating Indigenous

Tayson Ribeiro Teles (org.)

lands; b) the dismantling of the environmental regulation system and general relaxation on inspection; c) the systematic use of lying and distorted information on numerous environmental issues, including the false assertion that the data on deforestation are manipulated (BARRETTO-FILHO, 2020). All this has conveyed a general feeling among farmers and rural leaders who support the current administration that the Government has their back, which led to the systematic invasion of protected areas and Indigenous Lands.

In late 2019, farmers and loggers in the Amazon region started clearing land by burning the rainforest to show support for Bolsonaro's loosening of environmental restrictions (LOPES, 2019). In consequence, there has been a significant rise in illegal deforestation since then as Bolsonaro has said that more commercial farming and mining in the Amazon rainforest are necessary to "lift the region out of poverty". In September 2020, at least 160 illegal fires on the Indigenous lands of Pantanal were registered. Almost half of the regularized Indigenous areas in the region faced severe burnings that have destroyed villages, homes, agriculture crops and led to hospitalizations due to respiratory problems (MUNIZ; FONSECA; RIBEIRO, 2020; HRW, 2020b). In sum, Indigenous peoples and TPCs are being put under threat constantly by Bolsonaro's administration and its supporters, facing a process of increased socio-environmental vulnerability and violation of the rights to land, culture, health and to enjoy a healthy and clean environment (ROCHA; PORTO, 2020).

These constant symbolic and physical attacks on Indigenous peoples and TPCs are part of a necropolitical strategy employed by the President and by his administration, including the Minister of the Environment, Ricardo Salles, who is currently facing corruption charges on a massive illegal logging scandal. Necropolitics can be understood as the subjugation of life to the power of death (MBEMBE, 2019). "Under everyday necropolitics, a mass of populations live under extremely precarious conditions and as such, can be exploited and eliminated 'naturally'" (PELE, 2020, online). This everyday creation of "death-worlds" for Indigenous peoples, TPCs and every other person deemed perishable to modern-day capitalism (because they cannot be exploited anymore or do not wish to participate in the workforce) allows the Government to share the use of violence and eliminate certain populations in "small doses", through social, economic, and symbolic violence (MBEMBE, 2019; PELE, 2020). By reducing environmental policies, suppressing access to social welfare, delaying the regularization of Indigenous lands, and inciting a narrative of violence and neo-colonial practices, Jair Bolsonaro and his allies are creating the perfect conditions to strip native peoples from their living means and lead them to death without a bloodbath.

In this sense, the concept of necropolitics is closely related to that of "daily genocides", coined by Bartolomé Clavero to explain the contemporary violence perpetrated against the Indigenous peoples of Latin America. According to Gomes (2021), the daily genocides of Indigenous peoples - in Brazil and throughout Latin America - relates to the expropriation of nature and the ineffectiveness of land rights, whereas companies and other private actors exploit natural resources with the consent and promotion of the state and state agents. With the expression "daily genocide" (*genocidios cotidianos*), Clavero (2011) refers to the gradual disappearance of Indigenous peoples and communities in some Latin American countries (including Brazil), driven by large transnational corporations (mining, oil, forestry, and others) and by government authorities themselves. It differs from the classic definition of genocide under the UN Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide because it employs different methods that do not necessarily involve widespread massacre, instead, it aims at the destruction of cultures, livelihoods, and silent extermination.

FINAL REMARKS

The current attempt at exterminating the Indigenous peoples and other Native or Traditional communities of Brazil is, among other things, a byproduct of neoliberal and necropolitical forces, supported by Jair Bolsonaro's administration, and their wish to subjugate the environment and exploit the natural resources that are still available in certain territories that once were protected by environmental laws. The disappearance of these peoples would allow the complete exploitation of their territories for the purposes of capitalist economic activity, which can be achieved through daily acts of violence that are not necessarily deemed illegal under the law and are not perceived as genocide in its classic definition. Thus, the concepts of necropolitics and "daily genocides" can help us better understand the current existential risk that Indigenous peoples and TPCs are facing on a regular basis in contemporary Brazilian society.

REFERENCES

AMNESTY INTERNATIONAL AUSTRALIA. **WHAT ARE INDIGENOUS RIGHTS?** 2021. Available at: https://www.amnesty.org.au/how-it-works/what-are-indigenous-rights/. Access on: 17 April 2021.

AUSTRALIAN HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION. **UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples**. 2021. Available at: https://humanrights.gov.au/our-work/un-declaration-rights-indigenous-peoples-1. Access on: 17 April 2021.

BARNABAS, Sylvanus Gbendazhi. The Legal Status of the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples in Contemporary International Human Rights Law. **International Human Rights Law Review**, [*S.l.*], v. 6, n. 2, p. 242-261, 2017. Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1163/22131035-00602006. Access on: 17 April 2021.

Tayson Ribeiro Teles (org.)

BARRETTO-FILHO, Henyo Trindade. Bolsonaro, Meio Ambiente, Povos e Terras Indígenas e de Comunidades Tradicionais. **Cadernos de Campo (São Paulo - 1991)**, [*S.l.*], v. 29, n. 2, p. 1-9, Dec. 2020.

CAPELARI, Mauro Guilherme Maidana *et al*. Mudança de larga escala na política ambiental: análise da realidade brasileira. **Revista de Administração Pública**, [*S.l.*], v. 54, n. 6, p. 1691-1710, Dec. 2020.

CLAVERO, Bartolomé. **¿Hay genocidios cotidianos?** Y otras perplejidades sobre América indígena. Copenhagen: IWGIA, 2011. 372 p.

COLCHESTER, Marcus. Conservation policy and indigenous peoples. **Environmental Science & Policy**, [*S.l.*], v. 7, n. 3, p. 145-153, June 2004.

CONSELHO INDIGENISTA MISSIONÁRIO. **2016: violência contra indígenas no Brasil aumenta nos gabinetes e nas aldeias.** 2016. Available at: https://cimi.org.br/ observatorio-da-violencia/relatorio-2016/. Access on: 17 April 2021.

COSTA-FILHO, Aderval. Traditional peoples and communities in Brazil: the work of the anthropologist, political regression and the threat to rights. **Vibrant**: Virtual Brazilian Anthropology, [*S.l.*], v. 17, p. 1-19, 2020. Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/ 1809-43412020v17d450. Access on: 17 April 2021.

DALL'ALBA, Rafael *et al.* COVID-19 in Brazil: far beyond biopolitics. **The Lancet**, [*S.l.*], v. 397, n. 10274, p. 579-580, 2021. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00202-6. Access on: 17 April 2021.

DAMIANI, Gerson; PEREIRA, Wagner P.; NOCETTI, María A. G. (Orgs.). **O MUNDO INDÍGENA NA AMÉRICA LATINA**: olhares e perspectivas. São Paulo: Editora da Universidade de São Paulo (EDUSP), 2018. 540 p.

ENGLE, K. On Fragile Architecture: the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples in the context of human rights. **European Journal of International Law**, [*S.l.*], v. 22, n. 1, p. 141-163, 2011.

FORTES, Alexandre. Brazil's Neoconservative Offensive. **NACLA Report on the Americas**, [*S.l.*], v. 48, n. 3, p. 217-220, 2016. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1080/107 14839.2016.1228166. Access on: 17 April 2021.

FUNAI. **Índios no Brasil**: quem são. 2010. Available at: http://www.funai.gov.br/ index.php/indios-no-brasil/quem-sao. Access on: 11 April 2021.

GOMES, F. H. B. A inefetividade dos direitos territoriais indígenas e o genocídio cotidiano dos povos indígenas no Brasil (1988-2020). 2021. 106 f. Dissertation (Master's Program in Agrarian Law) - Universidade Federal de Goiás, Goiânia, 2021.

HENDERSON, Phil. **The Weakening of the UN Declaration on the Rights of Indige-nous Peoples**. 2014. Available at: https://www.e-ir.info/2014/05/26/the-weakening-o-f-the-un-declaration-on-the-rights-of-indigenous-peoples/. Access on: 17 April 2021.

HRW. **Brasil analisa a violência contra comunidades tradicionais da Amazônia.** 2020a. Available at: https://www.hrw.org/pt/news/2020/05/26/375198. Access on: 17 April 2021.

HRW. **"The Air is Unbearable" Health Impacts of Deforestation-Related Fires in the Brazilian Amazon**. 2020b. Available at: https://www.hrw.org/report/2020/08/26/ air-unbearable/health-impacts-deforestation-related-fires-brazilian-amazon. Access on: 17 April 2021.

ILO. **Indigenous and Tribal Peoples Convention, 1989 (No. 169)**. 1989. Available at: https://www.ilo.org/dyn/normlex/en/f?p=NORMLEXPUB:12100:0::NO::P12100_ILO_CODE:C169. Access on: 17 April 2021.

IORIS, Antonio Augusto Rossotto. AGRIBUSINESS IN BRAZIL: the narrative drives on. **Revista Nera**, [*S.l.*], n. 33, p. 139-154, 2016. Available at: https://doi.org/10.47946/ rnera.v0i33.4447. Access on: 17 April 2021.

LOPES, Marina. Why Brazilian farmers are burning the rainforest — and why it's so hard for Bolsonaro to stop them. **The Washington Post**. [*S.l.*], online. 8 September 2019. Available at: https://www.washingtonpost.com/world/the_americas/why-brazilian-farmers-are-burning-the-rainforest--and-why-its-difficult-for-bolsonaro-to--stop-them/2019/09/05/3be5fb92-ca72-11e9-9615-8f1a32962e04_story.html. Access on: 18 April 2021.

MBEMBE, Achille. **NECROPOLITICS**. Durham and London: Duke University Press, 2019. 225 p.

MINISTÉRIO PÚBLICO FEDERAL. **Conflitos associados à terra são principal causa de violência contra indígenas e comunidades tradicionais no Brasil, segundo MPF**. 2020. Available at: http://www.mpf.mp.br/pgr/noticias-pgr/conflitos-associados-a-terra-sao-principal-causa-de-violencia-contra-indigenas-e-comunidades-tradicionais-no-brasil-segundo-mpf. Access on: 17 April 2021.

MUNIZ, Bianca; FONSECA, Bruno; RIBEIRO, Raphaela. Incêndios já tomam quase metade das terras indígenas no Pantanal. **El País**. [*S.l.*], online. 18 September 2020. Available at: https://brasil.elpais.com/brasil/2020-09-19/incendios-ja-tomam-quase--metade-das-terras-indigenas-no-pantanal.html. Access on: 18 April 2021.

PELE, Antonio. Achille Mbembe: Necropolitics. 2020. Available at: https://criticalle-galthinking.com/2020/03/02/achille-mbembe-necropolitics/. Access on: 18 April 2021.

Tayson Ribeiro Teles (org.)

PEREIRA, Eder Johnson de Area Leão *et al.* Policy in Brazil (2016–2019) threaten conservation of the Amazon rainforest. **Environmental Science & Policy**, [*S.l.*], v. 100, p. 8-12, October 2019.

RAYGORODETSKY, Gleb. Indigenous peoples defend Earth's biodiversity—but they're in danger. 2018. Available at: https://www.nationalgeographic.com/environment/article/can-indigenous-land-stewardship-protect-biodiversity-. Access on: 17 April 2021.

ROCHA, Diogo F. da; PORTO, Marcelo Firpo S. A vulnerabilização dos povos indígenas frente ao COVID-19: autoritarismo político e a economia predatória do garimpo e da mineração como expressão de um colonialismo persistente. 2020. Available at: https://portal.fiocruz.br/sites/portal.fiocruz.br/files/documentos/ artigo_vulnerabilidade_indigena_garimpo_0.pdf. Access on: 18 April 2021.

SANTOS, Victor Santana *et al.* COVID-19 mortality among Indigenous people in Brazil: a nationwide register-based study. **Journal of Public Health**, [*S.l.*], n. 176, p. 1-2, 12 out. 2020. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1093/pubmed/fdaa176. Access on: 17 April 2021.

SECRETARIA ESPECIAL DO DESENVOLVIMENTO SOCIAL. BRASIL (GOVERNO FEDERAL). **Povos e Comunidades Tradicionais**. 2021. Available at: http://mds.gov. br/assuntos/seguranca-alimentar/direito-a-alimentacao/povos-e-comunidades-tradicionais. Access on: 17 April 2021.

SILVA, Elizângela Cardoso de Araújo. Povos indígenas e o direito à terra na realidade brasileira. **Serviço Social & Sociedade**, [*S.l.*], n. 133, p. 480-500, 2018. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1590/0101-6628.155.

SILVA, Marcos Vinicius Viana da; SCHERF, Erick da Luz. Dream the impossible dream? An ecosocialist account of the human right to a healthy environment. **Direito Ambiental & Sociedade**, [*S.l.*], v. 10, n. 2, p. 35-60, July 2020.